UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/691,442 | 10/23/2003 | Scott Hanggie | 003797.00722 | 8090 |
| 28319 RANNER & W | 7590 12/12/2007 /ITCOFF L.TD | | EXAM | IINER |
| BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NOS. 003797 & 013797 | | | JANKUS, ALMIS R | |
| 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2628 | | |
| | | | | ··· |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/12/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/691,442 | HANGGIE ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Almis R. Jankus | 2628 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLIC WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tte, cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10,35 and 36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or | awn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. | ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other: | Date | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/691,442 Page 2

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-10 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1 10 and 35 36 are directed to a computer readable medium. The instant specification defines computer readable medium as including communications media such as RF, at paragraph 33. Computer readable media as signals fail to fit any of the four statutory classes of invention.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-29 and 35-37, drawn to composing a desktop, classified in class 345, subclass 629.
 - Claims 30-34, drawn to resizing a window, classified in class 345, subclass 660.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related window processing. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use

Art Unit: 2628

together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, (1) the inventions as claimed have a materially different design, mode of operation, function and effect in that invention I sets forth a window frame and content areas, while invention II sets forth a window resizing algorithm (see fig. 7); (2) invention I, designing a window frame and content areas is mutually exclusive from invention II, resizing a window; and (3) designing a window and resizing a window are not obvious variants. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Almis R. Jankus whose telephone number is 571-272-7643. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on 571-272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJ

ALMIS R. JANKUS PRIMARY EXAMINER